

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of

Atty. Docket PH US000423

Anthony G. Picardo

Examiner: M. Bockelman

Serial No. 09/574,688

Filed: May 17, 2000

GAU: 3762

Title: SMART MEDICAL CONNECTOR SYSTEM AND METHOD OF USE

Commissioner for Patents PO Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313

RECEIVED

FEB 0 9 2004

PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.181 TO WITHDRAW NOTICE OF ABANDONMENT

TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700

Sir:

Applicant respectfully requests the Commissioner for Patents to restore the subject application to pending status on the ground that Applicant did respond in a timely manner to the Office Letter mailed by the Patent Office in this case on June 26, 2003. Applicant filed a Response to Office Action in this case on July 15, 2003, transmitted by facsimile, in timely response to the Office Action dated June 26, 2003.

Attached as Exhibit 1 is a copy of the Notice Of Abandonment dated January 7, 2004.

Attached as Exhibit 2 is the Response to Office Action with the Certificate of Mailing or Transmission on the first page of the Response signed by Elissa DeLuccy on July 15, 2003, with the box beside the facsimile transmission statement clearly marked.

Attached as Exhibit 3 is a copy of the Auto-Reply Facsimile Transmission from the Patent Office showing the first page of the Response to Office Action. The Auto-Reply page date stamp evidences that the PTO received the Response on July 15, 2003, at 3:07:09 PM EDT, and that the transmission consisted of 3 pages.

FEE

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge Deposit

Account No. 14-1270 for any petition fee, which may be due.

However, since it is believed that the holding of abandonment is the result of circumstances not attributable to any fault by Applicant or his representative, it is respectfully suggested that no fee is due.

Applicant's undersigned attorney may be reached by telephone at (425) 487-7152. All correspondence should continue to be directed to our below listed address.

Respectfully submitted,

W. Brinton Yorks, Jr., Reg. 28,923

Philips Electronics

22100 Bothell Everett Highway

Bothell, WA 98021

(425) 487-7152

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

Distrup

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited this date with the United States Postal Service as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to:

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

PO Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313

(Mailing Dock)

By (Sidnature)



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 8758 10001826.1 05/17/2000 Anthony G. Picardo 09/574,688

24737

7590

01/07/2004

PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510

COPY RECEIVED

JAN 15 2004

J. PEISTRUP

EXAMINER

BOCKELMAN, MARK

PAPER NUMBER

ART UNIT 3762

DATE MAILED: 01/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



RECEIVED

FEB 0 9 2004

TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700

04 JAN 12 AHID: 12

DOCKETED	DATE		INITIAL	
COMPUTER		IAN	3 11	2004
1ECRETARY				-4007
ATTORNEY				

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

Exh. 1

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Notice of Abandonment	09/574,688	PICARDO ET AL.					
	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Mark W Bockelman	3762					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	<u> </u>						
This application is abandoned in view of:							
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Offic (a) □ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of I period for reply (including a total extension of time of	Mailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on _	·					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection.						
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37	d Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); CFR 1.114).	or (3) a timely filed Request for					
(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).							
(d) ⊠ No reply has been received.							
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).							
 (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, wa), which is after the expiration of the statutory p Allowance (PTOL-85). 	s received on (with a Certific eriod for payment of the issue fee (a	cate of Mailing or Transmission dated nd publication fee) set in the Notice of					
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.							
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$							
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.							
.3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as req Allowability (PTO-37).							
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.							
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.							
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.							
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.							
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clai	rence rendered on and becaums.	se the period for seeking ∞urt review					
7. The reason(s) below:							
	370	THE TO COURT MANY					
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.							
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01) Notice	of Abandonment	Part of Paper No. 9					

Exh. 1



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of

Atty. Docket

ANTHONY PICARDO

Appln. No. 09/574,688

Filed: May 17, 2000

US 000423

Group Art Unit 3762

Examiner Mark Bockleman REM AND METHOD OF USE

and Trademarks

Title: SMART MEDICAL CONNECTOR SYSTEM AND METHOD OF USE

Assistant Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION I certify that this correspondence is being:

[] deposited with the U.S. Postal Service with sufficient postage as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

[X] transmitted by facsimile to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office at 703-872-9302

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated June 26, 2003, Applicants respectfully point out that the current restriction requirement (group I (claims 1-7) and group II (claims 10-12) is inconsistent with the election requirement required in the Office Action dated March 13, 2003. In particular, the Office Action dated March 13, 2003, acknowledges that the scope of independent Claims 1, 10 and 17 are within Species I (a medical connector and method of use using an identifier that alters light received).

MPEP 806.04(e) states that species must be specifically different embodiments. Since the March 13, Office Action

states that no claim is generic, according to the Office Action; no single claim covers more than one embodiment.

However, the Office Action dated June 26, 2003 imposes a restriction requirement¹ within a single species. It is not seen how claims within the scope of one specific species can define independent and distinct inventions.

In addition, MPEP 811 states that before making a restriction requirement after a first office action on the merits, the examiner should consider whether there would be a serious burden if restriction were not required. In the present case, it is highly likely that a single search may locate prior art, if any, relevant to both Groups 1 and II. It is also noted that the combination (claims 10-12) substantially includes all the features of the subcomination (claims 1-7). Accordingly, it is respectfully submit that there would be no serious burden if the restriction were not required.

In any event, Applicants' request clarification regarding the election/restriction requirements so that an appropriate election/restriction can be made.

¹ It is also noted that Claims 17--32 were not addressed in the Office Action dated June 26, 2003.

Applicants' undersigned attorney may be reached by telephone at the number given below.

Respectfully submitted,

Tony E. Piotrowski,

Reg. 42,080

(914) 333-9609

TX REPORT *************

TRANSMISSION OK

TX/RX NO

CONNECTION TEL CONNECTION ID

ST. TIME USAGE T PGS. SENT RESULT

1596

917038729302

RECEIVED

FEB 0 9 2004

TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700

07/15 15:07

01'03 3

ŎK

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of

Atty. Docket

ANTHONY PICARDO

US 000423

Appln. No. 09/574,688

Group Art Unit 3762

Filed: May 17, 2000

Examiner Mark Bockleman

Title: SMART MEDICAL CONNECTOR SYSTEM AND METHOD OF USE

Assistant Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION I certify that this correspondence is being:

[] deposited with the U.S. Postal Service with sufficient

postage as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

[X] transmitted by facsimile to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office at 703-872-9302

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated June 26, 2003, Applicants respectfully point out that the current restriction requirement (group I (claims 1-7) and group II (claims 10-12) is inconsistent with the election requirement required in the Office Action dated March 13, 2003. In particular, the Office

USPTO uto-reply fax to 914 332

7/16/03 3:22 PAGE COMPANY:



Auto-Reply Facsimile Transmission

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

TO:

Fax Sender at 914 332 0615

Fax Information

Date Received:

7/15/03 3:07:09 PM [Eastern Daylight Time]

3 (including cover page) **Total Pages:**

ADVISORY: This is an automatically generated return receipt confirmation of the facsimile transmission received by the Office. Please check to make sure that the number of pages listed as received in Total Pages above matches what was intended to be sent. Applicants are advised to retain this receipt in the unlikely event that proof of this facsimile transmission is necessary. Applicants are also advised to use the certificate of facsimile transmission procedures set forth in 37 CFR 1.8(a) and (b), 37 CFR 1.6(f). Trademark Applicants, also see the Trademark Manual of Examining Procedure (TMEP) section 306 et seq.

Received Cover Page =====>

07/15/03 TTE 15:07 FAX 914 332 0615

PHILIPS ELECTRONICS

20001

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of

Atty. Docket US 000423

ANTHONY PICARDO

Appln. No. 09/574,688

Group Art Unit 3762

Piled: May 17, 2000

Examiner Mark Bockleman

Title: SMART MEDICAL CONNSCTOR SYSTEM AND METEOD OF USE

Assistant Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

CENTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION

Place De Lucey

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated June 26, 2003, Applicants respectfully point out that the current restriction requirement (group I (claims 1-7) and group II (claims 10-12) is inconsistent with the election requirement required in the Office Action dated March 13, 2003. In particular, the Office Action dated March 13, 2003, acknowledges that the scope of independent Claims 1, 10 and 17 are within Species I (a medical connector and method of use using an identifier that alters light received).

MPEP 806.04(e) states that species must be specifically different embodiments. Since the March 13, Office Action

C1\D1\US0C3423.ATC3

Received from < 914 332 9615 > at 7/15/03 3:07:99 PM [Eastern Daylight Time]

De My 1 m many

Exh.3

Please type a plus sign (+) inside this box +

Please type a plus sign (+) inside this box + + | Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Inder the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TRANSMITTAL FORM

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Application Number	09/574,688		
Filing Date	May 17, 2000		
First Named Inventor	Anthony G. Picardo		
Group Art Unit	3762		
Examiner Name	M. Bockelman		
Attorney Docket Number	US000423		

l otal Number o	of Pages in This Subm	ssion 11 Attorney	Docket Number	03000423				
ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)								
	d cclaration(s) Request nent Request ure Statement riority	Assignment Paper (for an Application) Drawing(s) Licensing-related F Petition Petition to Convert Provisional Application of Corresp Address Terminal Disclaime Request for Refun CD, Number of CD Remarks	e appers to a action Revocation condence er	After Allowance Communication to Group Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Proprietary Information Status Letter Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below): Receipt Confirmation Postcard FEB 0 9 2004 TECHNOLOGY CENTER R370				
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT								
Firm or Individual name W. Brinton Yorks, Jr. Reg. #28,923 Signature Date 1/30/04								
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING								

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231 on this date:

Typed or printed name

Jill Peistrup

Signature

Date | 300

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.